

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/940,340                    | 08/27/2001      | Gust H. Bardy        |                         | 5225            |
| 22440                         | 7590 09/30/2003 |                      |                         |                 |
| GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC |                 |                      | EXAMI                   | NER             |
| 270 MADISC<br>8TH FLOOR       | ON AVENUE       |                      | SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY      |                 |
| NEW YORK,                     | NY 100160601    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                               |                 |                      | ARTUNI                  | PATER NUMBER    |
|                               |                 |                      | 3762                    |                 |
|                               |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003 | 6               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | <i>∧</i>  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | 09/940,340  | BARDY ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Kennedy Schaetzle   | 3762  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u> </u>  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th  | is action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-279 is/are pending in the application   | on.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-279 are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | n priority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>   | s have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been received in A   | Application No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | -   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest  | ic priority under 35 U.S.C  | . § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/940,340

Art Unit: 3762

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-244, drawn to a lead electrode assembly and implantable cardioverter/defibrillator, classified in class 607, subclass 005.
- II. Claims 245-263, drawn to a lead electrode assembly manipulation tool, classified in class 606, subclass 108.
- III. Claims 264-273, drawn to a method for implanting a lead electrode assembly subcutaneously outside a patient's ribcage, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- IV. Claims 274-279, drawn to a subcutaneous cardioverter/defibrillator kit, classified in class 206, subclass 570.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as for use in raking leaves or as a dinner fork. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in a method of defibrillating the heart.

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require an electrode, a riser coupled to the electrode, and a head coupled to the riser. The

Art Unit: 3762

subcombination has separate utility such as for placement within the body to provide defibrillation as opposed to the storage tray.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as raking leaves.

Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a rod connected to a plurality of tines. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in raking leaves.

Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product such as one that involves implanting a lead electrode assembly on the epicardial surface of the heart rather than subcutaneously.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. The examiner notes the existence of several species including those involving the placement of the riser along an interface line intersecting the lead fastener, or along

Application/Control Number: 09/940,340

Art Unit: 3762

a line parallel with the intersecting line, but does not consider the embodiments to be patentably distinct and therefore is not requiring an election of species.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 703 308-2211. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9302 for regular communications and 703 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0858.

KJS September 26, 2003

CENNERY SCHAETZY